State Bar Court of California Hearing Department San Francisco

STIPULA	TED DISBARMENT		
Counsel For The State Bar	Case Number(s):	For Court use only	
Esther Rogers	11-O-16293	1 ≠ 1	
State Bar of California		PUBLIC MATTER	
180 Howard Street		FUDLIC MAITLE	
San Francisco, California 94105-1639			
Telephone: (415) 538-2258		PH Ph	
		FILED	
Bar # 148246		MAY 1 4 2012	
In Pro Per Respondent	-	STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE	
James W. Robertson		SAN FRANCISCO	
3535 Lake Tahoe Blvd #125			
PO Box 19152			
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96151		·	
•	Submitted to: Assigned	Judge	
Bar # 140969	STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING; ORDER OF		
In the Matter of:	INVOLUNTARY INACTIV	VE ENROLLMENT	
JAMES W. ROBERTSON	STIPULATED DISBARMENT		
Bar # 140969	☐ PREVIOUS STIPUL	ATION REJECTED	
A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)			

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted June 6, 1989.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of (7) pages, not including the order.

kwiktag * 018 037 414

(Do r	ot write	e abov	e this line.)			
(4)			nent of acts or omissions acknowledged by respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included acts."			
(5)	Cor Law		ons of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of			
(6)	The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."					
(7)	No pen	more ding	than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, respondent has been advised in writing of any investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.			
(8)			t of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & Check one option only):			
		Co	osts to be awarded to the State Bar. Osts are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs". Osts are entirely waived.			
(9)	The und	e parti ler Bu	OF INACTIVE ENROLLMENT: ies are aware that if this stipulation is approved, the judge will issue an order of inactive enrollment usiness and Professions Code section 6007, subdivision (c)(4), and Rules of Procedure of the State 5.111(D)(1).			
• 1	Aggr Profe are r	essi	ting Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for onal Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances ired.			
(1)		Prio	or record of discipline			
	(a)		State Bar Court case # of prior case			
	(b)		Date prior discipline effective			
	(c)		Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:			
	(d)		Degree of prior discipline			
	(e)		If respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below:			
(2)		Disl	honesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, cealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.			
(3)		to th	st Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and respondent refused or was unable to account ne client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or perty.			
(4)		Har	m: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.			

(Do no	t write	above this line.)	
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.	
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.	
(7)	\boxtimes	Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct. Respondent was convicted of 12 criminal acts.	
(8)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.	
Addi	tiona	al aggravating circumstances:	
	_	ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating mstances are required.	
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.	
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.	
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings. Respondent agreed to resolve this matter without requiring a hearing.	
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.	
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.	
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.	
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.	
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.	
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.	
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.	
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.	

((Do not write above this line.)				
(12)		Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.		
((13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.		
/	Additional mitigating circumstances:				

(Do r	ot write	e above this lin	e.)	·				
D. I	Disci	pline:	Disbarme	ent.				
E.	Addit	tional Red	quirements:	:				
(1)	Rule	es of Court,	and perform th	ne acts specified in	n subdivisions		ents of rule 9.20, Califor within 30 and 40 calen er.	
(2)		interest per the principal and costs is above resti	r year from al amount, resp n accordance v	condent must pay with Business and ish satisfactory pr	Security Fund restitution to Professions oof of payme	Code section 6140.5.	plus 10 percent for all or any portion d plus applicable intere Respondent must pay t fice of Probation in Los order in this case.	st the

(3)

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

James W. Robertson

CASE NUMBER(S):

11-0-16293

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

FACTS:

Prior to November 7, 2003, respondent was charged with 14 counts of child sexual abuse in the matter R. v. R. (J.W.), 2005 British Columbia Supreme Court 75. The victims were respondent's children, step-children, step-child and a friend of his step-child.

On or about November 7, 2003, respondent was found guilty of 10 counts of sexual assault and 2 counts of common assault. Respondent was found guilty of:

- 1 count of sexual assault;
- 2 counts of indecent assault of a male person upon another male person;
- 2 counts of indecent assault upon a female;
- 3 counts of indecent assault upon a female;
- 1 count of rape;
- 1 count of rape;
- 1 count of common assault; and
- 1 count of common assault.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The acts for which respondent was convicted involve moral turpitude in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6106.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(7), was April 26, 2012.

In the Matter of: James W. Robertson	Case number(s): 11-O-16293	

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

May <u>⊥</u> , 2012 Date	Respondent's Signature	James W. Robertson Print Name
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
May 9, 2012 Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Esther Rogers Print Name

(Do not write at	pove this line.)	
In the Matte		Case Number(s): 11-O-16293
	STIPULATED DISE	BARMENT ORDER
Finding the s requested di	stipulation to be fair to the parties and smissal of counts/charges, if any, is G	that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the SRANTED without prejudice, and:
Ø	The stipulated facts and disposition Supreme Court.	are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the
	The stipulated facts and disposition DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to	are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the othe Supreme Court.
Ø	All Hearing dates are vacated.	•
within 15 day stipulation. (/s after service of this order, is granted See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Proce	red unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed d; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved edure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of
order is serve herein, or as	, subdivision (c)(4). Respondent's ina ed by mail and will terminate upon the	untary inactive status pursuant to Business and Professions Code active enrollment will be effective three (3) calendar days after this effective date of the Supreme Court's order imposing discipline e Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California, or as otherwise pary jurisdiction.
M 2-1	14, 2017	LUCY ARMENDARIZ Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on May 14, 2012, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING; ORDER OF INVOLUNTARY INACTIVE ENROLLMENT

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

JAMES W. ROBERTSON 3535 LAKE TAHOE BLVD #125 PO BOX 19152 SOUTH LAKE TAHOE, CA 96151

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

ESTHER ROGERS, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on May 14, 2012.

Mazie Yip

Case Administrator State Bar Court